

IN THE COUNTY COURT OF LANCASTER COUNTY, NEBRASKA

IN THE MATTER OF THE)
SEARCH WARRANT FOR)
PROPERTY LOCATED IN THE)
LINCOLN POLICE)
DEPARTMENT PROPERTY)
UNIT, 575 SOUTH 10TH)
STREET, LINCOLN,)
LANCASTER COUNTY, NE)
Q2324446)

CR 24-1

SEARCH WARRANT
RETURN

Clerk of the District Court

MAR 29 2024

Lancaster County, NE
FILED

STATE OF NEBRASKA)
COUNTY OF LANCASTER)


ss.

The undersigned states that he/she received the search warrant issued herein on the 4th day of March, 2024 and that he/she executed the same on the 18th day of March, 2024 seized the property/person described in the inventory filed herein and by delivering a copy of the said order for said property/person at the place from which the property/person was taken.

DATE this 26 day of March, 2024.


Deputy Daniel Sarnes

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 26 day of March, 2024.




Notary Public

C3008173





IN THE COUNTY COURT OF LANCASTER COUNTY, NEBRASKA

IN THE MATTER OF THE)
SEARCH WARRANT FOR)
PROPERTY LOCATED IN THE) INVENTORY
LINCOLN POLICE DEPARTMENT)
PROPERTY UNIT, 575 SOUTH)
10TH STREET, LINCOLN,)
LANCASTER COUNTY, NE)
Q2324446)

Lancaster County, NE
FILED
MAR 29 2024
Clerk of the District Court

STATE OF NEBRASKA)
) ss.
County of Lancaster)


Deputy Daniel Sarnes being first duly sworn upon oath, deposes and states the following is an inventory of property seized by virtue of the warrant issued herein:

- Phone-to-device connections
- SMS messages
- Facebook messenger messages

DATED this 26 day of March, 2024.


Deputy Daniel Sarnes 902176

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 26 day of March, 2024.


Notary Public



C3008173

RECEIPT

The undersigned hereby acknowledges receipt of the following described property seized from black Samsung cellphone with case, located in the Lincoln Police Property Evidence Unit at 575 South 10th , Lincoln, Lancaster County, NE, labeled with Property Number C3008173 labeled with Case Number Q2324446:

- Device connections
- Call history
- SMS messages
- Facebook Messenger Messages

Lancaster County, NE
FILED
MAR 29 2024
Clerk of the District Court

DATED this 26 day of MARCH, 2024.


Law Enforcement Officer

WITNESS

C3008173

MAR 29 2024

Clerk of the District Court

IN THE COUNTY OF LANCASTER COUNTY, NEBRASKA

STATE OF NEBRASKA)
) ss. SEARCH WARRANT
COUNTY OF LANCASTER)

TO: Daniel Sames, a Deputy with the Lancaster County Sheriff’s Office, Lancaster County, Nebraska, and any and all law enforcement officers.

WHEREAS, Daniel Sames, has filed an Affidavit before the undersigned Judge of the County Court of Lancaster County, Nebraska, a copy of which affidavit is attached hereto and made a part hereof; the court finds that the facts set forth in said Affidavit are true, and that those facts do constitute grounds and probable cause for the issuance of a Search Warrant.

THEREFORE, you are commanded to search the black Samsung cellphone with case belonging to the defendant, David Warren, located in the Lincoln Police Property Evidence Unit at 575 South 10th , Lincoln, Lancaster County, NE, labeled with Property Number C3008173 labeled with Case Number C3008173, Lincoln, Lancaster County, Nebraska, for the following items:

- Evidence to be searched for includes:
 - a. Evidence of other accounts associated with this device including email addresses, social media accounts, messaging “app” accounts, and other accounts that may be accessed through the digital device that will aid in determining the possessor/user of the device;
 - b. Evidence of use of the device to communicate with others about the above-listed crime(s), via email, chat sessions, instant messages, text messages, app communications, social media, internet usage, and other similar digital communications;
 - c. Photographs, images, videos, documents, and related data created, accessed, read, modified, received, stored, sent, moved, deleted or otherwise manipulated;
 - d. Evidence of use of the device to conduct internet searches relating to above listed crime(s);
 - e. Information that can be used to calculate the position of the device between the above dates, including location data; GPS satellite data; GPS coordinates for

routes and destination queries between the above-listed dates; “app” data or usage information and related location information; IP logs or similar internet connection information, and images created, accessed or modified between the above-listed dates, together with their metadata and EXIF tags;

f. Evidence of the identity of the person in possession of the device(s) and the associated times and dates. Such evidence may be found in digital communications, photos and video and associated metadata, IP logs, documents, social media activity, and similar data;

g. Records linking the suspect(s), co-conspirators, victim(s), witness(es) to a certain screen name, handle, email address, Social media identity, etc.;

h. Records showing a relationship with victim(s), location(s), other suspects, etc.;

i. Names, nicknames, account ID’s, phone numbers, or addresses of specific persons;

j. Records showing a relationships to particular areas or locations.;

k. Photographs, images, videos, documents that contain or are evidence of above listed crime(s);

l. Evidence of purchases, such as items used in planning or carrying out above listed crimes(s);

m. Internet research history conducted while planning, executing, or covering up to commit above listed crimes(s);

n. Any live and deleted user attribution data including user accounts, e-mail accounts, passwords, PIN codes, patterns, account names, user names, screen names, remote data storage accounts, documents, files, calendars, metadata, recycle bin files, and any other information and evidence that may demonstrate attribution to a particular user or users;

o. Any live and deleted applications, programs, or software, used to facilitate the creation, storage, display, or transmission of digital visual recordings and the logs and data associated with the applications, programs or software, and any device backup files;


p. Any live and deleted audio or visual recording files including files bearing file extensions jpg, jpeg, png, gif, tif, wav, aiff, mp3, mp4, avi, mpg, mpeg, flv, mp4, mov, and wmv along with any descriptive metadata within or associated with the visual recording files, which may include date and time the recording was created, the device used to create the recording and location the recording was made;

q. Any live and deleted passwords, password files, keys, encryption codes, or other information necessary to access the digital device, software or data stored on the digital device;

r. Any live and deleted records, documents, programs, applications, information, or materials created, modified, or stored in any form on the digital devices listed in this affidavit, that show the actual user(s) of the computers or digital devices including web browser history; temporary Internet files; cookies, bookmarked or favorite web pages; e-mail addresses used from the computer; MAC IDs and/or Internet Protocol addresses used by the computer; e-mails, instant messages, text messages (SMS/MMS), application data and other electronic communications; address books; contact lists; records of social networking and online service usage; calendar entries, notes, journals, and any software that would allow others to control the digital device such as viruses, Trojan horses, malware, and other forms of malicious software.


Your AFFIANT would also like to advise the court that the examination of digital devices is a lengthy process requiring special steps to ensure the integrity of the electronic evidence. Therefore, it may not be possible to complete a return for the court within the 10 days normally required by the court

Given under my hand and seal this 4th day of March, 2024



JUDGE OF THE COUNTY COURT
Rodney D. Reuter

Printed Name of County Court Judge



IN THE COUNTY COURT OF LANCASTER COUNTY, NEBRASKA

Clark of the District Court

MAR 29 2024

Lancaster County, NE
FILED

STATE OF NEBRASKA)
) ss. AFFIDAVIT FOR SEARCH WARRANT
COUNTY OF LANCASTER)

Deputy Sames #902176, being first duly sworn upon oath deposes and states that he is a Deputy Lancaster County Sheriff in Lancaster County, Nebraska. AFFIANT further states he is currently involved in the investigation of NRS: 28-305 Manslaughter and NRS: 60-6,198 DUI Serious Bodily Injury, occurring at 15801 SW14TH ST, Lancaster County, Nebraska. As part of the investigation, AFFIANT has consulted with other involved law enforcement and reviewed case reports. AFFIANT states as follows:

The item(s) to be searched for digital evidence are particularly described as:

a. 1 each, a black Samsung cellphone with case that belongs to David Warren, the defendant in this case. It is located in the Lincoln Police Property Evidence Unit at 575 South 10th , Lincoln, Lancaster County, NE, labeled with Property Number C3008173 labeled with Case Number C3008173

This cell phone was collected on 10-28-2024, the day of the crash, by Deputy Schilmoeller, and the phone has remained in the custody of law enforcement since it was collected. The cell phone to be searched is currently located at the Lincoln Police Department Property Unit, 575 South 10th, Lincoln, Lancaster County, State of Nebraska. The cell phone to be searched shall be delivered to the Electronic Evidence Unit located at 605 South 10th, Lincoln, Lancaster County, State of Nebraska for digital forensic processing and analysis. The Electronic Evidence Unit forensic examiners may designate additional forensic services, as they may deem necessary to complete the analysis. Once examination and analysis has been completed, the listed cell phone shall be returned to the Lincoln Police Department Property Unit, where it will be held until any final disposition by the Court

Facts:

On 10-28-23 at 1226 hours, Lancaster County Sheriff's Office deputies were dispatched to the area of SW 14th St and Hwy 33. Dispatch advised the crash was between a semi-tractor and a sport utility vehicle (SUV) which had possibly rolled. Deputy Schilmoeller was the first to arrive at 1229 hours. He located the crash in front of 15801 SW 14th Street, which is a Norris Public Power building. Deputy Schilmoeller found that four different vehicles

had been involved in the crash and they were in a large debris field. They were a white 2020 Toyota Tundra NE: SEMPRFI VIN: 5TFAY5F16LX934735, a gray 2012 Infiniti QX56 NE: 3A2646 VIN: JN8AZ2NE1C9022863, red 2015 Ford Expedition NE: ABZ651 VIN: 1FMJK1JT1FEF22186, and a red 2006 Peterbilt 378 NE: YET295 VIN: 1XPFDU9X26N637102 with attached white 1997 Timpte, Inc. Hopper Grain Trailer NE: XUS214 VIN: 1TDH42226VB092079.

When Deputy Schilmoeller arrived at the Toyota Tundra, he located front-seat passenger Lori A. Kreifels (DOB: 08-31-1970) trapped in her seat complaining of arm and shoulder pain. Deputy Schilmoeller located a David P. Warren (DOB: 08-10-1984) in the box of the Toyota Tundra screaming in pain with an obvious severe leg injury. Deputy Schilmoeller was informed by a passerby, who was a paramedic, that the driver of the Toyota Tundra, Bradley J. Kreifels (DOB: 02-02-1970), was deceased. Deputy Schilmoeller located Bradley Kreifels trapped in the Toyota and declared him deceased at 1230 hours. Medical personnel later reconfirmed death at 1307 hours. He did not locate any other injured persons that needed assistance.

Deputy Meyer drove to Bryan Health West where spoke with Tara Blevins, David Warren's fiancé and the owner of the a gray 2012 Infiniti QX56 driven by David Warren in this crash. Tara Blevins said David Warren had a history of alcoholism and that they had both been sober for about a year. On 10-28-23, David Warren sent Tara Blevins a text message at 0958 hours asking her if she wanted a beer. Tara Blevins was upset upon learning David Warren was drinking alcohol again as she had not seen David Warren consume alcohol for the last year. Tara Blevins said that David Warren was a regular user of pain medication specifically opioids, a daily user of Delta 8, and smoked marijuana. Tara Blevins said David Warren texted her that he wanted to come home and see her but he needed to be out and drive around for a while. Tara Blevins had a video call with David Warren at 1013 hours where David Warren was irate, irrational, and would not calm down, so Tara Blevins contacted David Warren's sister Roxanne R. Brodersen (DOB: 05-17-1977). Tara Blevins did not see David Warren consume alcohol. Tara Blevins said David Warren would not tell her where he was. Tara Blevins missed a call from David Warren's phone at 1225 hours.

Tara Blevins stated that she and David Warren began to argue regarding David Warren's consuming alcohol and stated that David Warren had recently been laid off his job in Fairbury at a packing plant. Tara Blevins stated David Warren suffered from Depression. I asked Tara Blevins if she believed David Warren had crashed the car intentionally, and Tara Blevins stated that she received text messages from David Warren indicating that he wanted to come home and see her and his son and just needed to be out and drive around for awhile. Tara Blevins stated

that the last conversation David Warren responded to was at 1215 hours, which was correspondence through text message. Tara Blevins had a missed sent and received call from David Warren's phone at 1225 hours. At approximately 1226 hours is when Tara Blevins got the call from David Warren's phone from Jamie Carman, the witness who located David Warren's phone after the crash.

Deputy Schilmoeller then spoke to Eric H. Carman (DOB: 02-10-1986), the driver of the Peterbilt semi grain truck, and Jamie L. Carman, a passenger in the Peterbilt. Eric Carman said he had been driving eastbound on Hwy 33 and turned northbound onto SW 14th Street. While traveling northbound, both Eric Carman and Jamie Carman said they felt like they had been hit from behind. Right after the crash, Julie Carman observed a phone on the ground in the crash scene that was ringing. Jamie Carman found out that it belonged to the driver of the grey Infiniti, David Warren. Jamie Carman stated she spoke to a female on the phone who said her husband was driving and that there were no kids in the car. A car seat was seen inside the Infiniti, so there was initially a concern that a child could have been inside the vehicle. Jamie Carman stated that Tara Blevins informed her that David Warren had been consuming alcohol. Tara Blevins was very upset to learn about the crash from Jamie Carman. The female on the phone told Jamie Carman that there were no kids involved. Deputy Schilmoeller seized this phone that was found by Jamie Carman at the crash scene and used to call Tara Blevins. This phone was later tagged it into property and is the black Samsung cellphone with case, located in the Lincoln Police Property Evidence Unit at 575 South 10th, Lincoln, Lancaster County, NE, labeled with Property Number C3008173 labeled with Case Number C3008173. David Warren's phone passcode for his phone was provided by Tara Blevins at the hospital and is 1006. This is the only phone located by law enforcement at the crash scene and seized as evidence in this case for David Warren. Law enforcement has no information that David Warren has more than one cell phone.

Deputy Meyer spoke with Roxanne Brodersen at the hospital. Roxanne Brodersen said she had been in contact with David Warren through text messages. Roxanne Brodersen said David Warren was irate with her and would not say where he was. Roxanne Brodersen said that when David Warren says he is going to go for a drive he usually gets on country road and drives for long periods. Roxanne Brodersen thought David Warren had stopped drinking a year ago.

A check of David Warren's driver's history showed that David Warren was currently revoked, but David Warren was eligible for reinstatement for all five revocations. His license was revoked for six months for an administrative license revocation- on 12-12-19. David Warren was convicted of aggravated driving under the influence first offense on 03-09-20 and his license was

revoked for six months. His license was revoked for a year for an administrative license revocation subsequent on 01-10-21. David Warren was convicted of driving during revocation on 03-10-21 and his driver's license was revoked for one year. David Warren was convicted of driving under the influence first offense on 04-26-21 and his driver's license was revoked for six months.

Your Affiant watched a surveillance video from Norris Public Power. The video was on 10-28-23 at 1225 hours. The red Peterbilt with trailer was northbound on SW 14th Street. I observed witness Douglas Nitzel southbound on SW 14th Street in his beige 2003 Honda Odyssey NE: ALU953. The Odyssey passed the red Peterbilt. As the southbound white Toyota approached the front of the Peterbilt, the northbound gray Infiniti (which appeared to be going more than the 55 mph posted speed limit) struck the left rear of the semi-trailer and then rotated into the front of the Toyota. The southbound red Ford took evasive action to the west ditch and debris could be seen going toward the Ford. The Infiniti and Toyota came to uncontrolled rest and the Peterbilt came to a controlled stop.

On 12-20-2023, Lancaster County Judge Yardley signed an arrest warrant for David Warren for Manslaughter and DUI-Serious Bodily Injury occurring on 10-28-2023. Warren was arrested and lodged at the Lancaster County Adult Detention Facility on that same day.

Digital Storage Devices

Your AFFIANT knows from training and experience that digital media devices and related digital storage devices, such as cell phones, can be used to create, edit, delete, share, and store files and other data including, live and deleted documents, photographs, videos, electronic mail (e-mail), search history and other relevant user information.

Your AFFIANT also knows from training and experience that computers and mobile devices, such as cell phones, connected to the Internet, are used to search the World Wide Web for content and such access can allow users to access and control data such as pictures, videos, documents, and other files.

Your AFFIANT also knows that such devices are often used to communicate and share data with other users and that such digital data can be transferred between various devices. Your AFFIANT knows that information associated with such data may show evidence of current, on-going, future, and past criminal activity. Your AFFIANT knows that this type of information can be used to identify and locate potential victims, witnesses, and co-conspirators.

Your AFFIANT also knows that data associated with these devices can often include user attribution data that can help identify the person(s) who sent, received, created, viewed, modified, or otherwise had control over particular content.

AFFIANT has been involved in investigations and has received training in various types of criminal investigations to include motor vehicle crashes and driving under the influence. Through your AFFIANT's training and past experience, your AFFIANT is aware that cellular telephone data can provide valuable insight for motor vehicle crashes and driving under the influence investigations. Cellular telephones are used by the general public for communication, access to and sharing of information, research, socialization, entertainment, mapping, shopping, note taking and other functionality. Your AFFIANT knows from training and criminal investigation experience that individuals also use cellular telephones for the aforementioned purposes, and as a tool for facilitating criminal activity. The data contained on cellular telephones seized in investigations can provide a wealth of information that can assist investigators in determining identity and culpability of participants, including identifying those with knowledge of a criminal offense or identify those who have aided a criminal participant in the commission of a criminal offense. As such, a cellular telephone possessed by criminal participants can serve both as an instrument for committing crime as well as a storage medium for evidence of the crime, including communications to plan, execute, and otherwise document the commission of a crime. Cellular telephones contain location data that can assist in an investigation by both corroborating and disproving statements. Cellular telephones can also show any possible relationships between parties involved through past communications, location data, and contact information stored.

Your AFFIANT is aware from past criminal investigation experience of numerous instances where cellular telephones were used by criminal participants to communicate via voice, text messaging, social media or other communication applications; instances in which criminal participants utilized cellular telephones to photograph themselves, associates and co-conspirators; instances in which cellular telephones were used by criminal participants to create videos of their criminal activity; instances where criminal participants have used cellular based internet applications to research crimes they have or intend to participate in; instances in which criminal participants have maintained notes within cellular telephones and instances in which criminal participants used global positioning, mapping and other location services.

Through your Affiant's training and criminal investigation experience examining cellular telephones, your Affiant is aware cellular telephones typically contain electronic records concerning calls made to, from, or missed by the cellular telephone. In addition, cellular telephones typically contain electronic records of text messages sent to and from the telephone, and other types of communication between persons. Cellular telephones typically contain a "phone book" of stored names and telephone numbers.

Through your Affiant's training and experience with examining digital devices, your Affiant is aware cellular telephones typically contain electronic records concerning calls made to, from, or missed by cellular telephone. In addition, digital devices typically contain electronic

records of messages sent to and from the device, and other types of communications between persons. Digital devices typically contain a “contact list” of stored names, telephone numbers, usernames, and accounts.

Your AFFIANT know evidence can remain on the device or media for indefinite periods of time after the communication originally took place, even if deleted by the user. A forensic examiner may be able to recover information deleted by the user throughout the working life span of the device.

Your AFFIANT knows digital data can be found in numerous locations, and formats. Evidence can be embedded into unlikely files for the type of evidence, such as a photo included in a document or converted into a PDF file or other format in an effort to conceal their existence. Information on devices and media can be stored in random order; with deceptive file names; hidden from normal view; encrypted or password protected; and stored on unusual devices for the type of data, such as routers, printers, scanners, game consoles, or other devices that are similarly capable of storing digital data.

Your AFFIANT knows, that, wholly apart from user-generated files and data, digital devices and media typically store, often without any conscious action by the user, electronic evidence pertaining to virtually all actions taken on the digital device, and often information about the geographic location at which the device was turned on and/or used. This data includes logs of device use; records of the creation, modification, deletion, and/or sending of files; and uses of the internet, such as uses of social media websites and internet searches/browsing.

Your AFFIANT knows device-generated data also includes information regarding the user identity at any particular date and time; usage logs and information pertaining to the physical location of the device over time; pointers to outside storage locations, such as cloud storage, or devices to which data may have been removed, and information about how that offsite storage is being used. If the device is synced with other devices, it will retain a record of that action. Digital device users typically do not erase or delete this evidence, because special software or use of special settings are usually required for the task. However, it is technically possible to delete this information.

Your AFFIANT knows digital devices can also reveal clues to other locations at which evidence may be found. For example, digital devices often maintain logs of connected digital or remote storage devices. A scanner or printer may store information that would identify the digital device associated with its use. Forensic examination of the device can often reveal those other locations where evidence may be present.

Your AFFIANT knows, as with other types of evidence, the context, location, and data surrounding information in the device data is often necessary to understand whether evidence falls within the scope of the warrant. This type of information will be important to the forensic examiner's ability to piece together and recognize evidence of the above-listed crimes.

Your AFFIANT knows the forensic examiner may also need the following items in order to conduct a thorough and accurate search of the devices: computer hardware, software,

peripherals, internal or external storage devices, power supplies, cables; internet connection and use information; security devices; software; manuals; and related material.

Your AFFIANT knows, that searching the digital device itself would irreversibly alter data and/or evidence on the device. The commonly accepted best practice method to search a digital device for evidence involves creating a digital image of the device and then searching that image for the responsive evidence. Creating a forensic image does not alter any evidence on the device; it only copies the data into a searchable format. The image is then searched using search tools to locate and identify that evidence whose seizure is authorized by this warrant. The unaltered device and the image are then preserved in evidence.

Your AFFIANT knows modern digital devices and media can contain many gigabytes and even terabytes of data. Due to the potential for an extremely large volume of data contained in devices and media, and that fact that evidence can be stored/located in unanticipated locations or formats and/or embedded in other items stored on the device/media, investigators typically need to use specialized equipment in their search. Such large volumes of data also mean that searches can take days or even weeks to complete.

Your AFFIANT also requests authority to obtain assistance from a technical specialist, to review the digital device(s) and digital media for the best and least intrusive method of securing digital evidence that this warrant authorizes for seizure, and to assist in securing such evidence.

Based on all the foregoing information, there is probable cause to believe that evidence of the above-listed crimes exists in the above-described digital devices and that there is probable cause to search those devices for the evidence of the above crimes.

Your AFFIANT knows from my training and experience, and from information provided to me by Electronic Evidence Unit Personnel that it is necessary to search live and deleted data recovered from digital devices from the time when the device was first used through the time when the device was seized. This is specifically necessary to establish associations between a particular device and associated applications and files to a particular user (or users). This scope of time is necessary to identify potential inculpatory and exculpatory evidence during the planning, execution and post event activities of potential criminal activity. These activities may include communication, contact, calendar entries, pictures, videos, location information (including GPS, navigation, and maps), This scope of time is also necessary to determine accurate device date and time settings, including time zone changes, and allow for the analysis any associated data within a proper context. I know from my training and experience that it is important to understand events of a particular day and time in proper context that may exist before and to attribute particular users of a device and associated applications.

For the technical reasons described, the digital evidence listed above shall be submitted to the Electronic Evidence Unit located at 605 South 10th St, Lincoln, Lancaster County, State of Nebraska for digital forensic processing and analysis.

The above does constitute grounds of probable cause for the issuance of a Search Warrant for black Samsung cellphone with case belonging to the defendant, David Warren, located in the

Lincoln Police Property Evidence Unit at 575 South 10th , Lincoln, Lancaster County, NE, labeled with Property Number C3008173 labeled with Case Number C3008173 for the following items:

Evidence to be searched for includes:

- a. Evidence of other accounts associated with this device including email addresses, social media accounts, messaging “app” accounts, and other accounts that may be accessed through the digital device that will aid in determining the possessor/user of the device;
- b. Evidence of use of the device to communicate with others about the above-listed crime(s), via email, chat sessions, instant messages, text messages, app communications, social media, internet usage, and other similar digital communications;
- c. Photographs, images, videos, documents, and related data created, accessed, read, modified, received, stored, sent, moved, deleted or otherwise manipulated;
- d. Information that can be used to calculate the position of the device between the above dates, including location data; GPS satellite data; GPS coordinates for routes and destination queries between the above-listed dates; “app” data or usage information and related location information; IP logs or similar internet connection information, and images created, accessed or modified between the above-listed dates, together with their metadata and EXIF tags;
- e. Evidence of the identity of the person in possession of the device(s) and the associated times and dates. Such evidence may be found in digital communications, photos and video and associated metadata, IP logs, documents, social media activity, and similar data;
- f. Records showing a relationships to particular areas or locations.;
- g. Photographs, images, videos, documents that contain or are evidence of above listed crime(s);
- h. Evidence of purchases, such as items used in planning or carrying out above listed crimes(s);
- i. Internet research history conducted while planning, executing, or covering up to commit above listed crimes(s);
- n. Any live and deleted user attribution data including user accounts, e-mail accounts, passwords, PIN codes, patterns, account names, user names, screen names, remote data storage accounts, documents, files, calendars, metadata, recycle bin files, and any other information and evidence that may demonstrate attribution to a particular user or users;
- o. Any live and deleted applications, programs, or software, used to facilitate the creation, storage, display, or transmission of digital visual recordings and the logs and data associated with the applications, programs or software, and any device backup files;
- p. Any live and deleted audio or visual recording files including files bearing file extensions jpg, jpeg, png, gif, tif, wav, aiff, mp3, mp4, avi, mpg, mpeg, flv, mp4, mov, and wmv along with any descriptive metadata within or associated with the visual recording files, which may include date and time the recording was created, the device used to create the recording and location the recording was made;

q. Any live and deleted passwords, password files, keys, encryption codes, or other information necessary to access the digital device, software or data stored on the digital device;

r. Any live and deleted records, documents, programs, applications, information, or materials created, modified, or stored in any form on the digital devices listed in this affidavit, that show the actual user(s) of the computers or digital devices including web browser history; temporary Internet files; cookies, bookmarked or favorite web pages; e-mail addresses used from the computer; MAC IDs and/or Internet Protocol addresses used by the computer; e-mails, instant messages, text messages (SMS/MMS), application data and other electronic communications; address books; contact lists; records of social networking and online service usage; calendar entries, notes, journals, and any software that would allow others to control the digital device such as viruses, Trojan horses, malware, and other forms of malicious software.

Your AFFIANT would also like to advise the court that the examination of digital devices is a lengthy process requiring special steps to ensure the integrity of the electronic evidence. Therefore, it may not be possible to complete a return for the court within the 10 days normally required by the court

Further AFFIANT saith not;

Dated this RDR 04 day of MARCH, 2024.

[Signature] 902176
Deputy Sarnes #902176, AFFIANT

SUBSCRIBED to in my presence and sworn to before me this 4th day of March, 2024.

[Signature]
Judge of the County Court
Rodney D. Renta
Printed Name of Judge

